1 2	Michael J. Bettinger (SBN 122196) mbettinger@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000	
3	San Francisco, California 94104-1715	
4	Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400	
5	Gordon D. Todd (<i>pro hac vice</i>) gtodd@sidley.com	
6	Kimberly Leaman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) kimberly.leaman@sidley.com	
7	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W.	
8	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8000	
9	Facsimile: (202) 736-8711	
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
11	JANSSEN BIOTECH, INC., JANSSEN ONCOLOGY, INC., LANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LL	
12	JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LL and JOHNSON & JOHNSON	⊂ ,
13		DISTRICT COURT
14		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, STATES OF CALIFORNIA, COLORADO,	Case No.: 3:17-cv-07250-JST
17	CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, FLORIDA,	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REQUEST,
18	GEORGIA, HAWAII, ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, LOUISIANA, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, MONTANA, NEVADA,	REQUEST, AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
19	NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA, OKLAHOMA,	SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS
20	RHODE ISLAND, TENNESSEE, TEXAS, VERMONT, AND WASHINGTON; THE	MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED COMPLAINT
21	COMMONWEALTHS OF	Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar
22	MASSACHUSETTS AND VIRGINIA; and THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,	Date: May 16, 2019 Time: 2:00 PM
23	ex rel. ZACHARY SILBERSHER,	Place: Courtroom 9, 19th Floor, Phillip Burton Federal Building
	Plaintiffs,	reactar building
24	VS.	
25	JANSSEN BIOTECH, INC., JANSSEN	
2627	ONCOLOGY, INC., JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LLC, and JOHNSON &	
	JOHNSON,	
28	Defendants.	1

NOTICE OF REQUEST AND REQUEST

TO ALL PARTIES	AND THEIR	ATTORNEYS	OF RECORD.
	AND HIER	ALIUNNEID	OF BECORD.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on May 16, 2019 at 2:00 PM, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard in the above-entitled Court located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, Defendants Janssen Biotech, Inc., Janssen Oncology, Inc., Janssen Research & Development, LLC, and Johnson & Johnson, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201 and in connection with the concurrently filed Motion to Dismiss, will and hereby do respectfully request that the Court take judicial notice of the following Exhibits, true and correct copies or excerpts of which are attached:

- **Exhibit A** U.S. Patent No. 5,604,213 (issued Feb. 18, 1997).
- 11 | Exhibit B U.S. Patent No. 8,822,438 (issued Sept. 2, 2014).
 - Exhibit C Applicant Arguments, Notice of Appeal, and Other Reference-Appeal Documents, submitted June 4, 2013, for U.S. Patent Application No. 13/034,430 (filed Feb. 24, 2011), available at https://portal.uspto.gov/pair/PublicPair.
 - **Exhibit D** Petition, Amerigen Pharm., Ltd. v. Janssen Oncology, Inc., IPR2016-00286 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 12, 2015), available at https://ptab.uspto.gov/#/login.
 - **Exhibit E** Petition, *Mylan Pharm., Inc. v. Janssen Oncology, Inc.*, IPR2016-01332 (P.T.A.B. June 30, 2016), *available at* https://ptab.uspto.gov/#/login.
 - **Exhibit F** Petition, *Wockhardt Bio AG v. Janssen Oncology, Inc.*, IPR2016-01582 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 10, 2016), *available at* https://ptab.uspto.gov/#/login.
 - Exhibit G Michael S. Cookson et. al, *Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: AUA Guideline*, AMERICAN UROLOGY ASSOCIATION EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, INC. (2013).
 - <u>Exhibit H</u> GSA Drugs, Pharmaceuticals & Hematology Related Products Solicitation, 01
 Solicitation Document, Standing Solicitation No. M5-Q50A-03-R8.
 - **Exhibit I** Specification, submitted Feb. 24, 2011, for U.S. Patent Application No. 13/034,430 (filed Feb. 24, 2011), *available at* https://portal.uspto.gov/pair/PublicPair.
 - **Exhibit J** Excerpt from Certified File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 8,822,438, Patent Application No. 13/034,340 (filed Feb. 24, 2011).

1	Exhibit K – Johnson & Johnson, Form 10-Q (Nov. 4, 2016).
2	Exhibit L – Johnson & Johnson, Form 10-Q (Aug. 4, 2016).
3	Exhibit M – Johnson & Johnson, Form 10-Q (May 9, 2016).
4	Exhibit N – Johnson & Johnson, Form 10-K (Feb. 24, 2016).
5	Exhibit O – Elaine A. Mostaghel, Abiraterone in the Treatment of Metastatic Castration-
6	Resistant Prostate Cancer, CANCER MANAGEMENT RESEARCH (2012),
7	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3912049/.
8	Exhibit P – Patent Board Grants Inter Partes Review of Cancer-Fighting Therapy, 24-1
9	MEALEY'S(R) LITIG. REP.: PATENTS 12 (2016).
10	Exhibit Q – Dr. Cary Millner & Kunyong Yang, <i>United States: PTAB Institutes Separate</i>
11	IPR Proceedings Filed By Codefendants, Finding That The Later IPR Proceeding Was Not Barred
12	by 35 U.S.C. § 325(d), PTAB Litigation Blog, MONDAQ BUS. BRIEFING (Feb. 7, 2017).
13	Exhibit R – Zytiga To Face Challenges, Business Monitor Online (Nov. 3, 2016).
14	Exhibit S – Jan Berger et al., How Drug Life-Cycle Management Patent Strategies May
15	Impact Formulary Management, 22 (16 supp.) AJMC (Jan. 20, 2017),
16	https://www.ajmc.com/journals/supplement/2016/how-drug-life-cycle-management-patent-
17	strategies-may-impact-formulary-management/a636-article.
18	Exhibit T – Silas Inman, FDA Approves Pre-Chemo Enzalutamide for mCRPC, ONCLIVE
19	(Sept. 10, 2014), https://www.onclive.com/web-exclusives/fda-approves-pre-chemo-enzalutamide-
20	for-mcrpc.
21	Exhibit U – Donald L. Trump, FDA Approves Enzalutamide for Metastatic Castration-
22	Resistant Prostate Cancer, HEMONC TODAY (Sept. 25, 2012),
23	https://www.healio.com/hematology-oncology/prostate-cancer/news/print/hemonc-
24	today/%7B9c47a439-aef9-4380-bdce-0e6843a2d524%7D/fda-approves-enzalutamide-for-
25	metastatic-castration-resistant-prostate-cancer.
26	Exhibit V – Amit Bahl et al., Second-Line Treatment Options in Metastatic Castration-
27	Resistant Prostate Cancer: A Comparison of Key Trials with Recently Approved Agents, CANCER
28	TREATMENT REVIEWS (Aug. 16, 2013), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2013.06.008

1	Exhibit W – H. Lee Mofflitt Cancer Ctr. & Res. Inst., Researchers Identify Inhibitor that
2	Overcomes Drug Resistance in Prostate Cancer, SCIENCEDAILY (June 12, 2017),
3	www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/06/170612124417.htm.
4	Exhibit X – Emmanuel S. Antonakaris, Current Understanding of Resistance to
5	Abiraterone and Enzalutamide in Advanced Prostate Cancer, CLINICAL ADVANCES IN
6	HEMATOLOGY & ONCOLOGY (May 2016), http://www.hematologyandoncology.net/archives/may-
7	2016/current-understanding-of-resistance-to-abiraterone-and-enzalutamide-in-advanced-prostate-
8	cancer/.
9	Exhibit Y – Andrew Pollack, New Drug For Prostate Cancer Gets F.D.A. Nod, N.Y.
10	TIMES (Aug. 31, 2012), https://www.nytimes.com/2012/09/01/business/fda-approves-prostate-
11	cancer-drug.html
12	Exhibit Z – Naoki Terada et al., <i>Exploring the Optimal Sequence of Abiraterone and</i>
13	Enzalutamide in Patients with Chemotherapy-Naïve Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: The
14	Kyoto-Baltimore Collaboration, 24 Int'l J. of Urology (Apr. 28, 2017),
15	https://doi.org/10.1111/iju.13346.
16	Exhibit AA – Dennis Thompson, Early Chemo May Boost Survival in Advanced Prostate
17	Cancer, WEBMD (May 14, 2015), https://www.webmd.com/prostate-cancer/news/20150514/early
18	chemo-may-boost-survival-in-advanced-prostate-cancer#1.
19	Exhibit BB – Todd Campbell, Can Xtandi Become the Go-To Prostate Drug?, AOL
20	FINANCE (Sept. 20, 2013), https://www.aol.com/article/finance/2013/09/20/can-xtandi-become-the
21	go-to-prostate-drug/20725994/#.
22	Exhibit CC – Andrew Pollack, New Drugs Fight Prostate Cancer, but at High Cost, N.Y.
23	TIMES (June 27, 2011), https://www.nytimes.com/2011/06/28/health/28prostate.html.
24	Exhibit DD – What Is ZYTIGA® (Abiraterone Acetate)?, ZYTIGA® (archived October 15,
25	2017), https://web.archive.org/web/20171015195728/https:/www.zytiga.com/.
26	Exhibit EE – How should I take XTANDI?, XTANDI® (archived October 6, 2017),
27	https://web.archive.org/web/20171006005848/https:/www.xtandi.com/.
28	

1	Exhibit FF – Why make JEVTANA your next step?, JEVTANA® (archived October 5, 2017),
2	https://web.archive.org/web/20171005083102/http:/www.learnaboutjevtana.com/.
3	Exhibit GG – Dep't of Veterans Affairs, National Acquisition Center (CCST), Item
4	Details: 57894-0150-12,
5	https://www.va.gov/nac/Pharma/Details?NDC=57894015012&CNT=V797P-5230B (last visited
6	Feb. 25, 2019).
7	Exhibit HH – U.S. Dep't of Veterans Affairs, National Acquisition Center (CCST), Item
8	Details: 57894-0195-06;
9	https://www.va.gov/nac/Pharma/Details?NDC=57894019506&CNT=V797P-5230B (last visited
10	Feb. 25, 2019).
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

When evaluating a motion to dismiss, courts "may properly take judicial notice of material attached to the complaint and of matters in the public record." *Vazquez v. Select Portfolio Servicing*, No. 13-CV-03789-JST, 2013 WL 5770995, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 2013). Courts may also "judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute," because it "can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Fed. R. Evid. 201(b).

Here, the attached Exhibits fall within categories of documents that courts have recognized as judicially noticeable. Courts can take judicial notice of such materials to determine whether a qualifying public disclosure occurred, without converting the motion to dismiss into one for summary judgment. *See, e.g., U.S. ex rel. Hong v. Newport Sensors, Inc.*, 728 F. App'x 660, 661 (9th Cir. 2018) (affirming judicial notice of documents "submitted to demonstrate public disclosure"); *Amphastar Pharm. Inc. v. Aventis Pharma SA*, No. EDCV-09-0023-MJG, 2012 WL 5512466, at *3–4 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2012); *U.S. ex rel. Ambrosecchia v. Paddock Labs., LLC*, 855 F.3d 949, 954–55 (8th Cir. 2017) ("amended public disclosure bar is appropriately resolved on a motion to dismiss, even assuming that it no longer poses a jurisdictional question" and "[i]n evaluating whether the public disclosure bar applies, [courts] may consider ... items subject to judicial notice." (quotations omitted) (citations omitted)).

Exhibits A through H are judicially noticeable because they are "documents incorporated by reference in a complaint or upon which a complaint necessarily relies." *In re Kalobios Pharm.*, *Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 258 F. Supp. 3d 999, 1003 (N.D. Cal. 2017). "Although generally the scope of review on motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim is limited to the Complaint, a court may consider evidence on which the "complaint 'necessarily relies' if: (1) the complaint refers to the document; (2) the document is central to the plaintiffs' claim; and (3) no party questions the authenticity of the copy attached to the 12(b)(6) motion." *Daniels-Hall v. Nat'l Educ. Ass'n*, 629 F.3d 992, 998 (9th Cir. 2010).

Exhibits A and B are the two patents upon which Relator's Amended Complaint ("AC" or "Complaint") relies. Exhibit C is Defendants' June 4, 2013 patent-prosecution filing submitted in

1	Application No. 13/034,340 ("the June 4 Submission") and referenced in the Complaint. See AC
2	¶ 75, 80. The June 4 Submission is central to Relator's Complaint, because the Complaint alleges
3	that Defendants made fraudulent misrepresentations and/or omissions to the United States Patent &
4	Trademark Office ("USPTO") in that submission. Exhibits D, E, and F are <i>inter partes</i> review
5	petitions cited by Relator in his Complaint. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 92. Exhibit G is an American Urology
6	Association guideline from 2013 on which Relator premises one of his allegations. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 80(d).
7	Exhibit H is a General Services Administration solicitation document from which Relator quotes in
8	support of his claim. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 107.
9	Exhibits I through Z and AA through FF are judicially noticeable because they are "matters
10	of the public record." Reyn's Pasta Bella, LLC v. Visa USA, Inc., 442 F.3d 742, 746 n.6 (9th Cir.
11	2006). Exhibits I and J are documents that were submitted by Defendants during the '438 patent
12	prosecution and are available via the USPTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval System
13	("PAIR"). Courts may take judicial notice of information available from PAIR. E.g.,
14	TransCardiac Therapeutics, Inc. v. Yoganathan, 15 F. Supp. 3d 1364, 1372 n.12 (N.D. Ga. 2014);
15	RB Rubber Prods., Inc. v. ECORE Int'l, Inc., No. 3:11-cv-319-AC, 2012 WL 860416, at *5 (D. Or.
16	Mar. 13, 2012). Exhibits K, L, M, and N are publicly filed 10-Q and 10-K disclosures. SEC
17	filings are subject to judicial notice. See Dreiling v. Am. Express Co., 458 F.3d 942, 946 (9th Cir.
18	2006).
19	Exhibits O through Z and AA through CC are fifteen online articles or reports that are
20	available to the public. Defendants request these documents to be judicially noticed "not for the
21	truth of the information," but "merely to show that the information was publicly available." Hong,
22	728 F. App'x at 661 (upholding district court's judicial notice of "the fact of the seven documents"
23	public availability") (emphasis omitted). "Courts may take judicial notice of publications
24	introduced to 'indicate what was in the public realm at the time, not whether the contents of those
25	articles were in fact true." Von Saher v. Norton Simon Museum of Arts at Pasadena, 592 F.3d
26	954, 960 (9th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted).
27	Exhibits DD, EE, and FF are three webpages from October 2017, accessed via the Wayback

Machine, an internet archive that reflects how a given webpage appeared at a prior point in time.

28

1	"Courts have taken judicial notice of the contents of web pages available through Wayback	
2	Machine as facts that can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy	
3	cannot reasonably be questioned." Erickson v. Nebraska Mach. Co., No. 15-CV-01147-JD, 2015	
4	WL 4089849, at *1 (N.D. Cal. July 6, 2015).	
5	Exhibits GG and HH are webpages published by the Department of Veterans Affairs	
6	website that reflect that Zytiga is offered for sale to the government on a Federal Supply Schedule	
7	The Court can "take judicial notice of [p]ublic records and government documents available from	
8	reliable sources on the internet, such as websites run by the governmental agencies." <i>Hadley v</i> .	
9	Kellogg Sales Co., 243 F. Supp. 3d 1074, 1088 (N.D. Cal. 2017) (citation and internal quotation	
10	omitted).	
11	Because all of the exhibits referenced herein are judicially noticeable, Defendants	
12	respectfully request the Court to take judicial notice of each of the attached Exhibits for purposes	
13	of showing the existence of the Exhibits and their date of publication.	
14	D . 1 E 1	
15	Dated: February 25, 2019 By: <u>/s/ Michael J. Bettinger</u> Michael J. Bettinger (SBN 122196)	
16	mbettinger@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
17	555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104-1715	
18	Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400	
19	Gordon D. Todd (pro hac vice)	
20	gtodd@sidley.com Kimberly Leaman (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
21	kimberly.leaman@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
22	1501 K Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005	
23	Telephone: (202) 736-8000 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711	
24	Attorneys for Defendants	
25	JANSSEN BIOTECH, INC., JANSSEN ONCOLOGY, INC.,	
26	JANSSEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, LLC, and JOHNSON & JOHNSON	
27		
28		